

10089858 10/089858

JCO5 Rec'd PCT/PTO 0 2 APR 2002  
(POST-2)

Atty. Docket #: ACDPA-5003 PWO

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**INTERNATIONAL APPL. NO.:** PCT/DE00/03507 :  
**INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE:** -10/05/00- :  
**APPLICANT:** JÜRGEN LANG ET AL :  
**SERIAL NO:** To be assigned : **ART UNIT:**  
**FILED:** -HEREWITH- : **EXAMINER:**  
**FOR:** "METHOD FOR PRODUCING AND :  
CHECKING FORGE-PROOF :  
DOCUMENTS" :

Commissioner for Patents  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

"Express Mail" No.: EL 928737475

Date: - APRIL 02, 2002 -

I hereby certify that this paper, along with any other paper or fee referred to in this paper as being transmitted herewith, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10, postage prepaid, on the date indicated above, addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

J. Lynn Ferry  
(Typed or printed name of mailing paper or fee)

J. Lynn Ferry  
(Signature of person mailing paper)

**TRANSMITTAL OF APPLICATION PAPERS  
TO U.S. DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)  
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. §371  
(37 CFR 1.494 OR 1.495)**

This Transmittal Letter is based upon PTO Form 1390 (as revised in May, 1993).

The above-identified applicant(s) (jointly with their assignee) have filed an International Application under the P.C.T. and hereby submit(s) to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information.

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. §371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. §371.
3. ☒ This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. §371(f)) at any time rather than delay.
4. ☒ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination (IPE) was made to the appropriate Authority (IPEA) within the time period required.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(2)) --
  - a. ☒ is transmitted herewith (required when not transmitted by International Bureau) ~~-with-~~ Two (2) Sheets of Drawings.
  - b. ☐ has been transmitted by the International Bureau. **See WIPO Publication WO 01/25880.**
  - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☒ A (verified) translation of the International Application into the English language -will follow-.
7. ☐ Amendments to the (specification and) claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))
  - a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has **NOT** expired.
  - d. ☐ have not been made and will not be made.
  - e. ☐ will be submitted with the appropriate surcharge.
8. ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims (and/or the specification) under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. §371(c)(3)) is enclosed or will be submitted with the appropriate surcharge.

JC13 Rec'd PCT/PTO 02 APR 2002

International Application No. PCT/DE00/03507

ACDPA-5003 PWO  
(POST-2)

9. ☒ An oath or declaration/power of attorney of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. §371[c](4)) will follow.  
[ ] and is attached to the translation of (or a copy of) the International Application.  
[ ] and is attached to the substitute specification.

10. [ ] A translation of at least the Annexes to the IPE Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. §371[c](5)) is enclosed.

Items 11. to 16. below concern other document(s) or information included:

11. ☒ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 is enclosed.
12. ☒ An Assignment for recording and a separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 will follow.
13. [ ] A FIRST preliminary amendment is enclosed.  
A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment is enclosed.
14. [ ] A substitute specification (including claims, abstract, drawing) is enclosed.
15. [ ] A change of power of attorney and/or address letter is enclosed.
16. ☒ Other items of information:

- ☒ This application is being filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.494(c) or 1.495(c), and any missing parts will be filed before expiration of--

[ ] 22 months from the priority date under 37 CFR 1.494(c), or

☒ 32 months from the priority date under 37 CFR 1.495(c).

- ☒ The undersigned attorney is authorized by the International applicant and by the inventors to enter the National Phase pursuant to 37 CFR 1.494(c) or 1.495(c).

The following additional information relates to the International Application:

International Application No.

- ☒ Receiving Office: DE
- ☒ IPEA (if filing under 37 CFR 1.495): EPO
- ☒ Priority Claim(s) (35 USC §§ 119, 365):  
German Appln. 199 48 319.1 filed -October 07, 1999- AND  
100 20 563.1 filed - April 27, 2000.
- ☒ A copy of the International Search Report is
- ☐ enclosed.
- ☒ attached to the copy of the International Application.
- ☒ A copy of the Receiving Office Request Form is enclosed.
- ☒ Form PTO/SB/05 (1) sheet
- ☒ Form PCT/RO/101 (5) pages Receiving Office Request Form-in German.
- ☒ Form PCT/IPEA/401 (4) pages-in German
- ☒ Copy of new set of claims filed with EPO on March 06, 2002 (In German).

The fee calculation is set forth on the next page of this Transmittal Letter.

International Application No. PCT/DE00/03507

ACDPA-5003 PWO

(POST=2)  
JC13 Rec'd PCT/PTO 02 APR 2002

## FEE CALCULATION SHEET

☒ A check in payment of the filing fee, calculated as follows, is attached (37 CFR 1.492).

Basic Fee..... \$ 890.00

Total Number of claims in  
excess of (20) times \$18..... -0-

Number of independent claims  
in excess of (3) times \$84 ..... -0-

Fee for multiple dependent  
claims \$280..... -0-


TOTAL FILING FEE... \$ 890.00

Kindly send us the official filing receipt.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 03-2775. This is a "general authorization" under 37 CFR 1.25(b), except that no automatic debit of the issue upon allowance is authorized. An additional copy of this page is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

By



Ashley I. Pezzner  
Reg. No. 35,646  
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP.  
1220 Market Street  
P.O. Box 2207  
Wilmington, Delaware 19899  
Tel. (302) 658-9141

AIP/jlf (10096\*2)

Enclosures

F:\docs\fori\40975 = F:\docs\patn\56657.doc

## CERTIFICATION OF TRANSLATION

I, Elise Duvekot, hereby certify that I am fully familiar with the German and English languages and that I am capable of translating from German into English. To the best of my knowledge and ability, the foregoing pages constitute an accurate and complete translation of the copy before me of WO 01/25880 A2 (PCT/DE00/03507) in the German language titled "Method for producing and checking forgery-proof documents". In witness whereof I sign,




Elise Duvekot, Translator

Wassenaar, May 6, 2002.



Translation by: **Duvekot Translators**  
**Langstraat 38A**  
**2242 KM Wassenaar**  
**The Netherlands**  
**Phone: (+31) 70 - 511-2999**  
**Fax: (+31) 70 - 511-2870**  
**e-mail: LEDTRANS@CS.COM**



Rec'd PCT/PTO 28 AUG 2002

WO 01/25880

- 3 -

PCT/DE00/03507

*Description***Method for producing and checking forgery-proof documents**

The invention relates to a method for producing forgery-proof documents using a security module, whereby the security module generates a temporary secret which remains unknown to a document producer, whereby the temporary secret, together with information that reveals details about the identity of the security module, is transferred in encrypted form to an authentication unit, whereby an authentication unit decrypts the temporary secret, recognizes the identity of the security module and encrypts the temporary secret, together with additional information, in such a way that only a checking unit can carry out a decryption and then the authentication unit transmits the encrypted temporary secret and the additional information to the document producer, whereby the document producer transfers its own data, which has been introduced into the document, to the security module, whereby the security module irreversibly links the temporary secret with the data that the document producer itself has introduced, in such a way that only when the same data is linked again in the same manner can an identical result be obtained, and whereby it is not possible to draw conclusions about the temporary secret.

The invention also relates to a method for checking the authenticity of a given document.

This method and this system, which pertain to the operating principle of a security module in the realm of the digital signature and of the use of encryption techniques, involve three entities in addition to the security module:

- the producer/processor of a document, hereinafter referred to as "document producer",

- an authentication unit that can identify the security module and link it to the identity of the document producer and
- a checking unit where the integrity of the document and the identity of the document producer are checked.

Systems for digital signature such as, for example, the public key signature method according to patent specifications DE 195 13 896 A1 or DE 197 03 929 A1, are known for ensuring that documents are forgery-proof and for identifying document producers.

A digital signature is a seal that relates to digital data and that is generated with a private signature key, whereby said seal – by means of an appertaining public key that is provided with a signature key certificate – makes it possible to verify the owner of the signature key and the integrity of the data (see Article 2, Clause 1 of SigG – German Signature Law). According to the terminology employed here, a checking unit is capable of checking the digital signature of a document producer and thus its identity as well as the integrity of the data contained in the document, if it knows the public signature key of the document producer that is provided with a signature key certificate.

Using the method of the digital signature is problematic when either the checking unit does not know the public signature key of the document producer that is provided with a signature key certificate of a certification unit or else when the document producer does not have its own private or public signature key.

The invention is based on the objective of creating a method for producing and/or checking forgery-proof documents that can also be used when the checking unit does not know the public signature key of the document producer and/or when the document producer does not have its own private or public signature key.

According to the invention, this objective is achieved in that the result of the irreversible linking of the temporary secret with the data introduced by the document producer is incorporated into the document.



Another subject matter of the invention is to carry out a method of the type described above in order to check the authenticity of documents in such a way that the checking unit checks whether the result of an irreversible linking of a secret with data introduced by a document producer have been incorporated into the document, in that the checking unit decrypts the secret and additional information that were encrypted by an authentication unit.

Here, it is especially advantageous for the checking unit to irreversibly link the decrypted temporary secret with the data introduced into the document by the document producer, in the same manner as a security module used to produce the forgery-proof document.

In order to increase data security when producing documents, it is advantageous to perform the method for producing the documents in such a way that the additional information transferred by the authentication unit, together with the temporary secret, is transmitted in encrypted form to the document producer.

Here, it is especially advantageous for the additional information transferred by the authentication unit, which is transmitted to the document producer, together with the temporary secret, to be transmitted in such a way that only a checking unit can carry out a decryption.

Advantageously, the method is performed in such a way that the additional information transferred by the authentication unit contains details on the identity of the document producer and on the validity of the documents generated by the document producer.

In order to check whether the documents were generated by means of the method described above by the document producer who is authorized to do so, it is advantageous to carry out the method to check the authenticity of the document in such a way that the checking unit compares the result of the irreversible linking that it has performed itself with the result of an irreversible linking that was performed by the

document producer and incorporated into the document.

Here, it is advantageous that the comparison determines whether data introduced into the document by the document producer has been forged.

Although the steps of producing and checking are carried out separately from each other, it is especially advantageous to combine them into a total process in which the documents are generated as well as checked according to predefined criteria.

In this context, it is advantageous that there is no direct communication and no shared data storage and data processing between the authentication unit and the checking unit.

Additional advantages, special features and practical refinements of the invention can be gleaned from the subordinate claims and from the following presentation of a preferred embodiment with reference to the drawings.

The drawings show the following:

Figure 1 – a security module that can be used in the method and

Figure 2 – a schematic representation of a system for generating and checking forgery-proof documents.

With the method and system described here, a checking unit to which both the document producer and the document it has produced are not known has the possibility to reliably check the integrity of the data contained in the document as well as the identity of the document producer, even without the use of a digital signature.

For this purpose, the document producer uses a security module that is realized by using various technical means, preferably involving the interaction of software with programmable hardware, and comprising five active units and three passive units as well as two data output ports and one data input port (see Figure 1).

The active units are:

- a secret generator that generates an unpredictable temporary secret (random number),
- an encryption machine that uses a known method to encrypt an input value with a key stored in a register,
- a hash machine that, on the basis of an input value, uses a known method to form a hash value of this input value (see Article 17, Clause 2 of SigV – German Signature Regulations) and
- two combination machines, each of which combines a result value on the basis of two input values.

The passive units are:

- a key register in which a key is stored, with which the encryptions can be generated which can only be decrypted by the confirmation unit,
- an identification register containing data with which the security module can unambiguously identify itself at a confirmation unit and
- an intermediate memory unit in which the secret generated in the secret generator is temporarily stored.

The data input ports and the data output ports are the only direction-specific input and output possibilities for the security module. Neither the document producer nor third parties can gain any other type of entry or access to the security module. Specifically, the data input ports and data output ports are the following:

- a data output port 1 via which the data is output that is transferred to the authentication unit,
- a data output port 2 via which the data is output that is incorporated into the document and
- a data input port via which the information can be input into the security module by the document producer.

Preferably, the security module described below is used in the method to produce forgery-proof documents.

In the security module, a secret generator creates an unpredictable secret (for example, a random number) that remains unknown outside of the security module and it transfers this secret to the combination machine 1 on the one hand and to the intermediate memory unit on the other hand. The combination machine 1 combines the secret with the data contained in the identification register that unambiguously identifies the security module at a confirmation unit. The result value of the combination machine is input into the encryption machine which uses the key from the key register to generate an encrypted result value that can only be decrypted by the authentication unit. This result value is output from the security module via the data output port 1 in order to be transferred to the authentication unit.

When the authentication unit decrypts the result value that has been output and transferred from the data output port 1, said authentication unit breaks down this result value into the secret and into the data from the identification register, then identifies the security module on the basis of the data from the identification register and encrypts the secret and additional information with a key that can only be decrypted by the checking unit, then the encrypted secret and additional information can be transferred to the document producer, who then incorporates them into the document and they can subsequently be decrypted by the checking unit.

Data that the document producer itself introduces via the data input port into the security module is combined by the combination machine 2 with the secret that is stored in the intermediate memory unit. The result value of the combination machine 2 is input into the hash machine that uses a known method to form a hash value of the input value. This result value is output from the security module via the data output port 2 in order to be incorporated into the document.

Preferably, the following is incorporated into the document:

- the data that the document producer itself has introduced into the security module via the data input port,
- the hash value that has been output by the security module via the data output port 2 and
- the secret and the additional information encrypted by the authentication unit that can only be decrypted by the checking unit.

A checking unit checks the integrity of the document and the identity of the document producer in that the secret and the additional information encrypted by the authentication unit are decrypted by means of a known method and in that a hash value is formed in the security module on the basis of a combination of the secret and the data introduced by the document producer itself and this hash value is compared to the transmitted hash value. If the comparison of the hash values – analogously to the checking of a digital signature – yields the identity of the generated and transmitted hash values, then the document cannot have been forged.

The authentication unit transmits additional information to the document producer encrypted in such a way that only the checking unit can decrypt it, whereby said information is transferred to the document producer to be incorporated into the forgery-proof document for purposes of information on the identity of the document producer and on the period of validity of the documents generated by the document producer.

A preferred area of application of the invention is that document producers are, for example, persons who use a computer (PC) to themselves print out entrance tickets, plane tickets or vouchers whose integrity can be verified by a checking unit that, for instance, controls access to places associated with these documents. The authentication unit is, for example, the ticket office that issues the entrance tickets with which the document producer communicates electronically via the Internet prior to the printing out of the entrance tickets. The security module is a technical means that is preferably realized by means of the interaction of software with programmable hardware and that is at least temporarily a component of the hardware and software of the PC of the document producer.

The invention can ensure that, for example, even without checking the digital signature of the document producer with all of the consequences this entails (individual public signature key of all document producers to be checked), the checking unit that controls the entrance can verify the integrity of a document that was issued within the sphere of influence of an unreliable document producer via its PC and printer. The security module ensures the integrity of information that was inserted into the document by the document producer without the knowledge of the authentication unit as well as the identifiability of the document producer.

Advantageous effects of this invention can be seen in the fact that companies and organizations – by using security modules – can offer their clients the means to easily print out documents via the Internet whose integrity can be checked reliably. It is especially advantageous here that the document producer can dispense with the use of digital signatures, which is associated with a considerable infrastructural and organizational complexity as well as country-specific legal uncertainty. Moreover, with the method and system described, it is advantageous that the scope of the information within the document that serves for the checking unit to check the document is very small in comparison to a digital signature, where the public signature key of the document, producer provided with a signature key certificate of a certification unit, can constitute a component of the document. It is also advantageous that, in order to check

the integrity, there does not have to be any direct communication or shared data storage and processing between the authentication unit and the checking unit. Finally, it is advantageous that the communication between the security module and the authentication unit on the one hand, and between the document production and document checking on the other hand can be fundamentally uncoupled from each other in such a way that several documents can be produced on the basis of one communication between the security module and the authentication unit, into which documents different document-specific data can be input by the document producer.

An advantageous method for producing and checking forgery-proof documents will be described below with reference to Figure 2.

Figure 2 shows a system in which information generated by a document producer is transferred to an authentication unit, where it is processed and once again transferred to the document producer. The document producer uses the information transmitted by the authentication unit to produce forgery-proof documents. A procedure preferably separated from the document production is the checking of the forgery-proof documents in a checking unit.

The system presented contains the process steps 1 to 8 described below.

In a first process step 1, a temporary secret is generated in the form of a random number that is encrypted together with an identification number of the security module with the public key of the authentication unit so that the document producer cannot gain access to this temporary secret and it can only be decrypted by the authentication unit.

The process step designated with the reference numeral 2 comprises the transfer of the encrypted random number and the identification number to the authentication unit. It should be pointed out that this transfer can also go via an unsecured route since only the authentication unit is capable of decrypting the information.

In a subsequent process step 3, the authentication unit decrypts the random number and

the identification number with the private key of the authentication unit. The random number is encrypted with additional information on the identity of the document producer and on the period of validity of the documents produced by the document producer in such a way that only the checking unit can decrypt the random number and the additional information.

In the process step designated with the reference numeral 4, the encrypted information is transferred to the document producer. It should be pointed out that this transfer can also go via an unsecured route since only the checking unit is capable of decrypting the information.

For this reason, the method is especially well-suited for use in data networks that can hardly or not at all be secured against unauthorized access such as, for example, the Internet.

In the process step designated with the reference numeral 5, the document producer enters its own data into the security module, whereby said data serves to identify the document.

In the process step designated with the reference numeral 6, a hash value is formed from the combination of the data input by the document producer and the still-stored random number. The subsequently produced document contains the data that the document producer itself introduces into the document, the just-formed hash value as well as the encrypted information of the authentication unit.

A further process step 7 involves the transfer of the document consisting of the data of the user, the hash value and the encrypted information of the authentication unit (see item 3).

In a checking unit, a process step designated with the reference numeral 8 entails a decryption of the information of the authentication unit using the key of the checking unit. According to Claim 1, the decrypted random number can be used, together with the



data that the document producer itself has introduced into the document, to form a hash value and this is done by means of the same, generally known method that was used in the security module to form the hash value. A comparison of the formed hash value with the transferred hash value provides reliable information as to whether the data introduced by the document producer itself was forged. According to Claim 2, additional information on the identity of the document producer and on the period of validity of the documents generated by the document producer can be decrypted here.

Through the method and the system for producing forgery-proof documents using a security module, a checking unit to which both the document producer and the document it has produced are not known has the possibility to reliably check the integrity of the data contained in the document as well as the identity of the document producer, even without the use of a digital signature. All of the checking information needed for this purpose, which has to be incorporated into the document, is made available to an authentication unit with which the security module used for the production of the document communicates prior to producing/processing the document. The method and the system are especially well-suited to give people the possibility to use their own PCs to print out, for example, entrance tickets or vouchers that can be reliably checked for their integrity.

Copy of new claims filed with the EPO on March 6, 2002

March 6, 2002

***New Patent Claims:***

1. A method for producing forgery-proof documents or data records using a security module,
  - whereby the security module generates a secret which remains unknown to a document producer,
  - whereby the secret, together with information that reveals details about the identity of the security module, is transferred in encrypted form to an authentication unit,
  - whereby an authentication unit decrypts the secret, recognizes the identity of the security module and encrypts the secret, together with information on the identity of the document producer, in such a way that only a checking unit can carry out a decryption and then the authentication unit transmits these to the document producer,
  - whereby the document producer transfers its own data to the security module,
  - whereby the security module irreversibly links the secret with the data that the document producer itself has introduced, and
  - whereby it is not possible to draw conclusions about the secret.

**characterized in that** the result of the irreversible linking of the secret with the data introduced by the document producer, the data introduced by the document producer itself as well as the encrypted information of the authentication unit all serve to form the document that is transmitted to the checking unit.

2. The method according to Claim 1, **characterized in that** the additional information transferred by the authentication unit contains details on the identity of the document producer and on the period of validity of the documents generated by the document producer.
  
3. The method for checking the authenticity of a document, **characterized in that** the checking unit checks whether the result of an irreversible linking of a secret with data introduced by a document producer have been incorporated into the document, in that the checking unit decrypts the secret and additional information that were encrypted by an authentication unit, in that the checking unit irreversibly links the decrypted secret with the data introduced into the document by the document producer, in the same manner as a security module used to produce the forgery-proof document, and in that the checking unit compares the result of the irreversible linking that it has performed itself with the result of an irreversible linking that was performed by the document producer and incorporated into the document.
  
4. The method according to Claim 3, **characterized in that** the comparison determines whether data introduced into the document by the document producer has been forged.

**TRANSLATION**

[12] international application published in accordance with  
the patent cooperation treaty (pct)

[19] World Organization for Intellectual  
Property - International Office



PCT

[43] International Date of Publication  
of the Application:  
April 12, 2001

[10] International Publication Number:  
**WO 01/25880 A2**

[51] International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>:  
W G06F 1/00  
O

[81] International Application Number:  
1 PCT/DE00/03507  
/

[22] International Date of Filing:  
5 October 5, 2000  
8

[25] Language of Filing: German  
8

[26] Language of publication: German  
0  
A

[30] Data on Priority Dates:  
199 48 319.1 October 7, 1999 DE  
100 20 563.1 April 27, 2000 DE  
2

[71] Applicant (for all Contracting States, except  
for the United States):  
deutsche post ag [de/de]  
Heinrich-von-Stephan-Strasse 1  
53175 Bonn, Germany (DE)

[72] Inventors; and  
[75] Inventors/applicants (only for the United  
States):  
lang, Jürgen [DE/DE]  
Schau ins Land 15  
51429 Bergisch Gladbach, Germany (DE)  
meyer, Bernd [DE/DE]  
Zum Stöckerhof 2c  
53639 Königswinter, Germany (DE)

[74] Representative:  
jostarndt, Hans-Dieter  
Eupener Strasse 266  
52076 Aachen, Germany (DE)

[81] Contracting States (national):  
AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR,  
BY, CA, CH, CN, CU CZ, DE, DK, EE,  
ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, HU, ID, IL, IS,  
JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS,  
LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,  
MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE,  
SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG,  
US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW

[84] Contracting States (regional):  
ARIPO Patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW,  
MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian  
Patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU,  
TJ, TM), European Patent (AT, BE, CH,  
CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT,  
LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI Patent (BF,  
BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML,  
MR, NE, SN, TD, TG)

**Published**  
Without an international search report and  
to be re-published after receipt of the report.

For an explanation of the two-letter codes and of  
the other abbreviations, reference is hereby  
made to the explanations titled "Guidance Notes  
on Codes and Abbreviations" at the beginning of  
every regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

[54] Title: Method for producing and checking forgery-proof documents.

[57] **Abstract:** The invention relates to a method for producing forgery-proof documents using a security module, whereby the security module generates a temporary secret which remains unknown to a document producer, whereby the temporary secret, together with information that reveals details about the identity of the security module, is transferred in encrypted form to an authentication unit, whereby an authentication unit decrypts the temporary secret, recognizes the identity of the security module and encrypts the temporary secret, together with additional information, in such a way that only a checking unit can carry out a decryption and then the authentication unit transmits the encrypted temporary secret and the additional information to the document producer, whereby the document producer transfers its own data, which has been introduced into the document, to the security module, whereby the security module irreversibly links the temporary secret with the data that the document producer itself has introduced, in such a way that only when the same data is linked again in the same manner can an identical result be obtained, and whereby it is not possible to draw conclusions about the temporary secret. The method according to the invention is characterized in that the result of the irreversible linking of the temporary secret with the data introduced by the document producer is incorporated into the document. The invention also relates to a method for checking the authenticity of a given document.

10/089858

WO 01/25880

- 17 -

PCT/DE00/03507

1/2

Method and system for producing  
forgery-proof documents


Drawing 1

10/089858

WO 01/25880

- 18 -

PCT/DE00/03507



WO 01/25880

- 19 -


PCT/DE00/03507

---



2/2

*Method and system for producing  
forgery-proof documents  
Drawing 2*



**COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY**Attorney Docket No.  
ACDPA-5003 PWO (POST-2)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

**METHOD FOR PRODUCING AND CHECKING FORGE-PROOF DOCUMENTS**  
the specification of which

(check one) 9 is attached hereto.

X was mailed on April 2, 2002 as

Application Serial No. 10/089,858 and as PCT application number PCT/DE00/03507 filed  
October 5, 2000.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or (f) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent, inventor's or plant breeder's rights certificate, or §365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's or plant breeder's rights certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

**Prior Foreign Application(s)  
Priority Claimed**

<u>199 48 319.1</u> (Number)	<u>Germany</u> (Country)	<u>07 October 1999</u> (Day/Month/Year Filed)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9 Yes No
<u>100 20 563.1</u> (Number)	<u>Germany</u> (Country)	<u>27 April 2000</u> (Day/Month/Year Filed)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9 Yes No

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

(Application No.)

(Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

PCT/DE00/03507October 5, 2000published as WO 01/25880

(Application Serial No.)

(Filing Date)

(Status)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

